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Agenda

- Introductory Remarks
- Review of Hospital Performance Data Expansion Policy
- Overview of Outpatient Measures and Recent Changes
- Discussion
Review of Hospital Performance Data Expansion Policy

Purpose:
Strengthen and expand Maryland’s system for monitoring and publicly reporting on hospital performance and quality

Support our all-payer hospital regulatory system and its quality programs that focus on patient health outcomes and cost savings

Align with the CMS hospital quality program to demonstrate Maryland’s ability to meet or exceed federal requirements
Review of New Measures for CMS Alignment

- **Inpatient Measures**
  - Perinatal Measure
  - Structural Measures
  - Healthcare Associated Infections Data (NHSN)
  - Exceptions/Clarifications

- **Outpatient Measures**
  - Claims based
  - Chart abstracted
  - Structural Measures
Review of New Measures for CMS Alignment

Inpatient Measures

- Perinatal Measure (PC-01) Elective Delivery Prior to 39 Weeks

- Web-based Structural Measures (4)
  - Participation in Systematic database for Cardiac Surgery
  - Participation in Systematic Clinical database Registry for Nurse Sensitive Care
  - Participation in Systematic Clinical database Registry for General Surgery
  - Safe Surgery Checklist Use*
  - Participation in Systematic Clinical database Registry for Stroke Care (Removed as of FY2014 IPPS Final Rule)
  - Requires Yes/No response
Inpatient Measures (cont’d)

- **Healthcare Associated Infections Data (NHSN)**
  - Surgical Site Infection - Colon & Abdominal Hysterectomy
  - Catheter Associated Urinary Tract Infections (CAUTI) in ICUs
  - MDRO Module
    - *Clostridium Difficile* (July 1, 2013)
    - *MRSA* Bacteremia
  - Health Care Personnel Influenza Vaccination Module for 2013/14 flu season
Review of New Measures for CMS Alignment

Inpatient Measures - Exceptions/Clarification

- Hospital Based Inpatient Psychiatric Services (HBIPS) measures are not required by acute care hospitals with psych units at this time
- Perinatal Care measures PC-02 through PC-05 are not required
- Stroke Measures (STK-1 through STK-6, STK 8, STK 10) have been replaced with the GWTG Stroke Registry Data
- *Children’s Asthma Care (CAC) Measures are not required*
- NHSN SSI data for hip, knee, CABG procedures are still required
- Tobacco Treatment Measures (TOB) are not required
- Substance Use Measures (SUB) are not required
Quality Measures Data Center

- Secure web-portal for quarterly hospital data submission including Inpatient and Outpatient clinical data and HCAHPS data
  - MHCC will follow CMS IQR and OQR data submission schedules
  - MHCC will obtain pre-calculated measure results from CMS for inpatient, outpatient and HCAHPS data
  - Two week data preview period has been eliminated
  - Maintain independent data validation component
  - 1Q2014 outpatient measures data submission delayed until November 2, 2014.
Review of New Outpatient Measures for CMS Alignment

- Outpatient Measures
  - Claims based
  - Chart abstracted
  - Web-based Measures

- MHCC data requirements will follow changes in reporting deadlines implemented by CMS for the IQR and OQR programs.
Review of New Outpatient Measures for CMS Alignment

Outpatient Measures

- Claims based Imaging Efficiency Measures (7)
  - OP-8: MRI Lumbar Spine for Low Back Pain
  - OP-9: Mammography Follow-up Rates
  - OP-10: Abdomen CT — Use of Contrast Material
  - OP-11: Thorax CT — Use of Contrast Material
  - OP-13: Cardiac Imaging for Preoperative Risk Assessment for Non Cardiac Low Risk Surgery
  - OP-14: Simultaneous Use of Brain Computed Tomography (CT) and Sinus Computed Tomography (CT)
  - OP-15: Use of Brain Computed Tomography (CT) in the Emergency Department for Atraumatic Headache (Reporting Postponed by CMS to 2016)
Review of New Outpatient Measures for CMS Alignment

Outpatient Measures

Chart Abstracted (12)

Cardiac Care (AMI and Chest Pain) Measures (5)

- OP-1: Median Time to Fibrinolysis
- OP-2: Fibrinolytic Therapy Received Within 30 Minutes
- OP-3: Median Time to Transfer to Another Facility for Acute Coronary Intervention
- OP-4: Aspirin at Arrival
- OP-5: Median Time to ECG
- OP-16: Troponin results Received Within 60 Minutes *(Retired)*
Review of New Outpatient Measures for CMS Alignment

Outpatient Measures

Chart Abstracted

ED Throughput Measures (3)

- OP-18: Median Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients
- OP-19 Transition Record with Specified Elements Received by Discharged Patients (Measure Removed as of CY2014 OPPS Final Rule)
- OP-20: Door to Diagnostic Evaluation by a Qualified Medical Professional
- OP-22: ED- Patient Left Without Being Seen (Numerator/denominator one time per year for the previous year) *

* More information on OP-22 can be found under the web-based measures section of this presentation
Review of New Measures for CMS Alignment

Outpatient Measures
Chart Abstracted/Claims

- OP-24: Cardiac Rehabilitation Patient Referral From an Outpatient Setting (Measure Removed as of CY2014 OPPS Final Rule)
Outpatient Measures

Chart Abstracted
- Pain Management (1)
  - OP-21: ED- Median Time to Pain Management for Long Bone Fracture

Stroke (1)
- OP-23: ED- Head CT Scan Results for Acute Ischemic Stroke or Hemorrhagic Stroke who Received Head CT Scan

Surgical Care (2)
- OP-6: Timing of Antibiotic Prophylaxis
- OP-7: Prophylactic Antibiotic Selection for Surgical Patients
Review of New Outpatient Measures for CMS Alignment

Outpatient Measures

Web-based Measures (8)

- OP-12: The Ability for Providers with HIT to Receive Laboratory Data Electronically Directly into their Qualified/Certified EHR System as Discrete Searchable Data
- OP-17: Tracking Clinical Results between Visits
- OP-22: ED- Patient Left Without Being Seen (Numerator/denominator one time per year for the previous year)
- OP-25: Safe Surgery Checklist Use
- OP-26: Hospital Outpatient Volume Data on Selected Outpatient Surgical Procedures

Note: The submission period for these web-based measures is July 1, 2015 and November 1, 2015 for the reference period CY2014
Review of New Outpatient Measures for CMS Alignment

Outpatient Measures

Web-based Measures (8)

- OP-27: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel (reported on the National Healthcare Safety Network website)
- OP-29: Endoscopy/Polyp Surveillance: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients
- OP-30: Endoscopy/Polyp Surveillance: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps – Avoidance of Inappropriate Use
- OP-31: Cataracts – Improvement in Patient’s Visual Function Within 90 Days Following Cataract Surgery (Collection deferred until January 1, 2015)

Note: The reference period for OP-29 and OP-30 measure encounters is April 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. The submission period is from July 1, 2015 through November 1, 2015. Hospitals will report the data for OP-27 to the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN). The data time period is from October 1, 2014 – March 31, 2015. The submission period is from October 1, 2014 through May 15, 2015.
Maryland Health Care Commission

- Quality Measures Data Center: https://www.marylandqmdc.org

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

- QualityNet: https://www.qualitynet.org
- Outpatient Quality Reporting Q&A tool: https://cms-ocsq.custhelp.com/app/home2/p/359
- Outpatient Quality Reporting Educational Opportunities: http://www.oqrsupport.com/hospitaloqr/education
Questions?
Performance Measurement Workgroup
Balanced Scorecard Discussion
May 9, 2014

HSCRC Staff
All-Payer Model Mission: A System that Achieves the Triple Aim

**Better Care**
- Enhance care transitions
- Sustain high physician participation
- Broaden engagement in innovative model of care
- Improve quality of care
- Increase patient satisfaction

**Better Health**
- Reduce unnecessary admissions and ED visits
- Reduce health disparities
- Increase sharing of data through state HIE
- Improve health status

**Reduced Costs**
- Reduce overuse of diagnostic testing
- Reduction in rate of growth of health care costs on a per capita basis
- Meaningful savings for all payers
Better Care

- Improve Care Quality
  - Patient experience- HCAHPS
  - Maryland Hospital Acquired Condition scores

- Improve care transitions
  - Readmissions rates (CMS methodology with exclusions)

- Broaden engagement in innovative care models?
- Sustain high physician participation?
Better Health

- Reduce unnecessary admissions and ED visits
  - Rates of Acute Composite AHRQ Prevention Quality Indicators
  - Rates of Chronic Composite AHRQ Prevention Quality Indicators
  - Rates ED or Observation visits within 30 days
- Increase sharing of data through HIE?
- Improve health status
  - State Health Improvement Measures (SHIP) (see Appendix A)
Better Health- Composite Includes

- Reduce unnecessary admissions and ED visits
  - AHRQ Prevention Quality Indicators
    - PQI 01 Diabetes Short-term Complications Admission Rate
    - PQI 02 Perforated Appendix Admission Rate
    - PQI 03 Diabetes Long-term Complications Admission Rate
    - PQI 05 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate
    - PQI 07 Hypertension Admission Rate
    - PQI 08 Heart Failure Admission Rate
    - PQI 09 Low Birth Weight Rate
    - PQI 10 Dehydration Admission Rate
    - PQI 11 Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate
    - PQI 12 Urinary Tract Infection Admission Rate
    - PQI 13 Angina Without Procedure Admission Rate
    - PQI 14 Uncontrolled Diabetes Admission Rate
    - PQI 15 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate
    - PQI 16 Lower-Extremity Amputation among Patients with Diabetes Rate
    - PQI 90 Prevention Quality Overall Composite
    - PQI 91 Prevention Quality Acute Composite
    - PQI 92 Prevention Quality Chronic Composite
Reduced Costs

- Total Revenue and Volume Trends (Reduce per capita rate of health care costs, Achieve meaningful payer savings)
  - Total Revenue, Medicare and Non-Medicare, Resident and Non-Resident
  - Revenue performance against targets (All-Payer Cap, Medicare Savings, Budgets)
  - Total Volume, Medicare and Non-Medicare, Resident an Non-Resident

- Potentially Avoidable Utilization - excess cost attributed to:
  - Re-hospitalization
    - Inpatient- All Hospital, All Cause 30 Day Readmissions using CMS methodology with adjustment for planned admissions
    - ED – any visit within 30 days of an inpatient admission
    - Observation- any observation within 30 days of an inpatient admission
  - Potentially Avoidable Admissions/Visits
    - Inpatient- Agency for Health Care Quality (AHRQ) Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) eke. Ambulatory care sensitive admissions
    - Hospital Acquired Conditions as measured by Potentially Preventable Complications (PPCs)
  - PMPM Efficiency Measures (later)
Reduce Disparities

- Hospital race, ethnicity, language mix, (including collection/capture of data)
- Break down all statewide measures by black/white if available:
  - All quality measures from HSCRC casemix data
  - All cost measures from HSCRC data set
  - SHIP measures?
  - HCAHPS?
# Appendix A: Monitoring Commitments and Data Sources Outlined in the CMS Contract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Data Files</th>
<th>Source Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Timeline</th>
<th>Reporting Timeline</th>
<th>CY Data Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Target Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-Payer per Capita Test</td>
<td>HSCRC Financial Database</td>
<td>HSCRC</td>
<td>Monthly, 45 days after the end of the month</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
<td>March 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Population Projections and Estimates</td>
<td>MD Dept. of Planning</td>
<td>Annual, December</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
<td>December 31st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare per Beneficiary Hospital Payments</td>
<td>National and Maryland Medicare Part-A Claims</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Monthly, with 4 month lag</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beneficiary Enrollment Data</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Monthly, with 4 month lag</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readmissions</td>
<td>National and Maryland Medicare Claims</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Monthly, with 4 month lag</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentially Preventable Complications</td>
<td>HSCRC Case mix Database</td>
<td>HSCRC</td>
<td>Monthly, with 2 month lag</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>March 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guardrails Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare per Beneficiary Total Payments</td>
<td>National and Maryland Medicare Part A and Part B Claims</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Monthly, with 4 month lag</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beneficiary Enrollment Data</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Monthly, with 4 month lag</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Revenue from Out of State Patients in Maryland (Medicare and All-Payer)</td>
<td>Medicare Claims Data</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Monthly, with 4 month lag</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
<td>May 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSCRC Financial Database</td>
<td>HSCRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Savings Amounts from Medicare Programs for Maryland Hospitals (from ACO's, bundled payments, etc, paid outside of claims)</td>
<td>To be developed</td>
<td>HSCRC</td>
<td>At Least Annually</td>
<td>60 days after receipt</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-Payer Total Cost and Shifts to unregulated space</td>
<td>See Appendix B &quot;Rec Data Source for Gaps&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Monitoring Data

#### PATIENT EXPERIENCE OF CARE MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Data Files</th>
<th>Source Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Timeline</th>
<th>Reporting Timeline</th>
<th>CY Data Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS: Patient’s rating of the hospital</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS: Communication with doctors</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS: Communication with nurses</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAHPS: Communication with the home health team</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health CAHPS: Patient’s rating of home health agency</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Health CAHPS: Communication with the home health team</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Home CAHPS (State-administered survey based on): Family members’ perceptions of nursing home care</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinician and Group CAHPS: Patient’s perceptions of care provided by a physician in an office.</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Stay Nursing Home Resident’s discharge needs met</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>MHCC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Stay Nursing Home Resident’s Discharge planning and information about medicines and symptoms</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>MHCC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of physician follow up after discharge</td>
<td>Claims - Medicare, Medicaid, MCDB</td>
<td>CMS, DHMH, MHCC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharges with PCP identified (Recommended Modification to the measure)</td>
<td>See Appendix B &quot;Rec Data Source for Gaps&quot;</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid participating physicians per Medicaid enrollee;</td>
<td>See Appendix B &quot;Rec Data Source for Gaps&quot;</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare participating physicians per Medicare enrollee</td>
<td>See Appendix B &quot;Rec Data Source for Gaps&quot;</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Monitoring Data

### PATIENT EXPERIENCE OF CARE MEASURES, Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Data Files</th>
<th>Source Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Timeline</th>
<th>Reporting Timeline</th>
<th>CY Data Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation of providers in patient centered medical home models</td>
<td>See Appendix B &quot;Rec Data Source for Gaps&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation of providers in ACOs and bundled payments</td>
<td>See Appendix B &quot;Rec Data Source for Gaps&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality score using process of care measures in AMI, HF, SCIP, PN, CAC</td>
<td>Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality score using process of care measures in outpatient setting</td>
<td>Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHSN CLASBI SIR</td>
<td>Hospital Compare</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission Rates from Home Health Agencies to Acute Inpatient Hospital</td>
<td>Home Health Compare</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unplanned, urgent visits to the Emergency Departments for patients receiving Home Health care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readmission rates from nursing home to acute care hospital (Readmission rate for Hospital Discharges to Nursing Homes)</td>
<td>Hospital Inpatient Discharge Abstract</td>
<td>HSCRC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>March 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readmissions per 1000 residents</td>
<td>HSCRC Case Mix Database</td>
<td>HSCRC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>March 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Estimates</td>
<td>MD Dept. of Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition-Specific Hospital Readmissions Rates:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Heart Failure</td>
<td>Hospital Inpatient Discharge Abstract</td>
<td>HSCRC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>March 1st</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pneumonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Acute Myocardial Infarction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hip/Total Knee Arthoplasty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix A: Monitoring Commitments and Data Sources Outlined in the CMS Contract, cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Data Files</th>
<th>Source Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Timeline</th>
<th>Reporting Timeline</th>
<th>CY Data Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>POPULATION HEALTH MEASURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 1*: Increase life expectancy</td>
<td>Vital Statistics Data</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI) Composite Measure of Preventable Hospitalization</td>
<td>HSCRC Case Mix Database</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 32: Reduce the % of adults who are current smokers</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 33: Reduce the % of youth using any kind of tobacco product</td>
<td>Maryland Youth Tobacco Survey</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 24: Increase the % vaccinated annually for seasonal influenza</td>
<td>CDC National Immunization Survey; BRFSS</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 23: Increase % of children with recommended vaccinations</td>
<td>CDC National Immunization Survey</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 20: Reduce new HIV infections among adults and adolescents</td>
<td>MD HIV surveillance system; US Census Bureau; ACS 5 year Census</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 27: Reduce diabetes-related emergency department visits</td>
<td>HSCRC Case Mix Database</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 28: Reduce hypertension related emergency department visits</td>
<td>HSCRC Case Mix Database</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 31: Reduce the % of children who are considered obese</td>
<td>Maryland Youth Tobacco Survey</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 30: Increase the % of adults who are at a healthy weight</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 17: Reduce hospital ED visits from asthma</td>
<td>HSCRC Case Mix Database</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP Objective 34: Reduce hospital ED visits related to behavioral health</td>
<td>HSCRC Case Mix Database</td>
<td>DHMH</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall-related death rate</td>
<td>Mortality database</td>
<td>Maryland Vital Statistics Admin</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>July **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report reflects the deliberations of the Data and Infrastructure Workgroup.

**DRAFT FOR REVIEW AND DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**
## Appendix A: Monitoring Commitments and Data Sources Outlined in the CMS Contract, cont.

### Monitoring Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Data Files</th>
<th>Source Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Timeline</th>
<th>Reporting Timeline</th>
<th>CY Data Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOSPITAL COST/EFFICIENCY MEASURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-8: MRI Lumbar Spine for Low Back Pain</td>
<td>HSCRC Case Mix Database (OP-10, 11, and 14 only)</td>
<td>CMS, MHCC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>June 30th</td>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-9: Mammography Follow-up Rates</td>
<td>Medicare Claims (Hospital Compare); See Appendix B &quot;Rec Data Source for Gaps&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-10: Abdomen CT - Use of Contrast Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-11: Thorax CT - Use of Contrast Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-13: Cardiac Imaging for Preoperative Risk Assessment for Non Cardiac Low Risk Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-14: Simultaneous Use of Brain Computed Tomography (CT) and Sinus Computed Tomography (CT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Per capita hospital expenditure growth (inpatient and outpatient) for:
- All-payer
- Medicare
- Medicaid/CHIP
- Private payer
- Medicare/Medicaid Enrollees (Dual Eligible)

| Hospital Inpatient and Outpatient Discharge Abstract; Insurance Enrollment Files | HSCRC | Annual | June 30th | March 1st |

Per capita health expenditure growth (inpatient and outpatient) for:
- All-payer
- Medicare
- Medicaid/CHIP
- Private payer
- Medicare/Medicaid Enrollees (Dual Eligible)

| See Appendix B "Rec Data Source for Gaps" | TBD | June 30th | TBD |

This report reflects the deliberations of the Data and Infrastructure Workgroup.  
**DRAFT FOR REVIEW AND DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**
### Appendix B: Recommendations for Data Sources to Address Gaps Compliance Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Recommended Data Files</th>
<th>Recommended Data Source Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Timeline</th>
<th>Limitations &amp; Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Considerations include: easy to submit on regular basis; clear definitions to ensure consistent reporting; build upon existing and well-documented models; and sufficiently disaggregated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-Payer Total Cost and Shifts to unregulated space</td>
<td>Total Cost of Care Template</td>
<td>Medicaid and Commercial Payers</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Monitoring Data

#### PATIENT EXPERIENCE OF CARE MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Recommended Data Files</th>
<th>Recommended Data Source Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Timeline</th>
<th>Limitations &amp; Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discharges with PCP identified</td>
<td>To be developed</td>
<td>CRISP</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Measure is not exactly consistent with CMS requirement, there is a strong case to be made that this measure is a better indicator of supporting transitions in care and more consistent with meaningful use requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid participating physicians per Medicaid enrollee;</td>
<td>HealthChoice directory of participating providers</td>
<td>DHMH Medicaid</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Potential duplication of providers, or providers who are not actively seeing Medicaid patients or other inaccuracies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare participating physicians per Medicare enrollee</td>
<td>Medicare.gov Physician Compare directory</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Potential duplication in provider data and a lack of current information on whether providers are actively seeing Medicare beneficiaries or open for new patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation of providers in patient centered medical home models</td>
<td>On-line directory of clinicians and sites that have received NCQA reorganization as a medical home</td>
<td>National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Does not include providers participating in other medical home initiatives in Maryland (i.e., CareFirst Initiative)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix B: Recommendations for Data Sources to Address Gaps Compliance Data, cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Recommended Data Files</th>
<th>Recommended Data Source Agency</th>
<th>Monitoring Timeline</th>
<th>Limitations &amp; Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PATIENT EXPERIENCE OF CARE MEASURES, cot.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation of providers in ACOs and bundled payments</td>
<td>Medicare- Funded: To be developed; Alternative Rate Methodology Statistics</td>
<td>CMS; HSCRC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>CMS has not permitted Maryland hospitals to participate in bundled payment demonstrations; however, the agreement with CMS encourages Maryland to come forward with proposals under different CMMI initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOSPITAL COST/EFFICIENCY MEASURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-8: MRI Lumbar Spine for Low Back Pain</td>
<td>Claims (Hospital Compare); Other Payers (OP-8, 9, and 13 To Be Developed) (OP-10, 11, and 14 HSCRC Case Mix Database)</td>
<td>CMS; HSCRC; MHCC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Medicare specific measures are published at Hospital Compare website. All-payer Measures for OP-10, 11, and 14 should be able to be calculated from outpatient hospital data only. The other three efficiency measures need to be developed using all-payer claims data base.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-9: Mammography Follow-up Rates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-10: Abdomen CT - Use of Contrast Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-11: Thorax CT - Use of Contrast Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-13: Cardiac Imaging for Preoperative Risk Assessment for Non Cardiac Low Risk Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP-14: Simultaneous Use of Brain Computed Tomography (CT) and Sinus Computed Tomography (CT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita health expenditure growth (inpatient and outpatient) for:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All-payer</td>
<td>Total Cost of Care Template for All-Payer, Medicaid &amp; Private Payers; Medicare Data for Medicare and Dual eligible</td>
<td>Medicaid, Commercial Payers and Medicare</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Considerations: See Total Cost of Care template above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Medicare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Medicaid/CHIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Private payer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Medicare/Medicaid Enrollees (Dual Eligible)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C: Draft Reporting Template for Total Cost of Care

### MD Providers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acute Hospital Inpatient</th>
<th>Acute Hospital Outpatient</th>
<th>Specialty Hospitals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Inpatient (except Psych &amp; Rehab)</strong></td>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>Adm</td>
<td>Exp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exp</strong></td>
<td><strong>Adm</strong></td>
<td><strong>Exp</strong></td>
<td><strong>Visits</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Out of State Providers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acute Hospital Inpatient</th>
<th>Acute Hospital Outpatient</th>
<th>Specialty Hospitals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Inpatient (except Psych &amp; Rehab)</strong></td>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>Adm</td>
<td>Exp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exp</strong></td>
<td><strong>Adm</strong></td>
<td><strong>Exp</strong></td>
<td><strong>Visits</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ambulatory Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-Hospital Outpatient</th>
<th>Professional/Clinic</th>
<th>Long-TermCare/Post Acute</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>Urgent Care</td>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>Non-PCP</td>
<td>Therapies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>Visits</td>
<td>Exp</td>
<td>Visits</td>
<td>Exp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Exp = Expenses; Adm = Admissions*

**Reporting Levels**

- **Age Groups**
- **Enrollee County of Residents**
- **Market Segment**

---

This report reflects the deliberations of the Data and Infrastructure Workgroup.
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Digitalization of medical care has reached a “tipping point”
The implications for measurement will be profound

Figure 1. Percentage of office-based physicians with EHR systems: United States, 2001–2013

Source: USDHHS, CDC-National Center for Health Statistics - 2014
IN THIS PRESENTATION I WILL DISCUSS THE FOLLOWING AREAS

• The evolving *digital health milieu*

• *New paradigms* for EMR based performance measurement

• HIT as an enabler for *population health*

• Some preliminary thoughts about *HIT in support of measurement* for the All-Payer waiver
The new “digital health care milieu”

- EHRs
- Web-Portals
- PHRs
- Integrated Delivery System
- ACO
- Virtual Network
- Practice Team
- Physician
- Patient
- Family
- Community/Population
- Secure Messaging
- ICT / wireless & wired
- e-mail / internet / Social networks
- M-health Apps
- CDS / POE
- Claims / MIS / HIS
- PH / HR IT
- Biometric / Telemed

ACO = Accountable Care Organization
EHR = electronic health record
PHR = personal health record
CDS = clinical decision support IT systems
MIS/HIS = Management/Health IT systems
POE = provider order entry IT systems
PH/HR = public health / human resource IT systems
Telemed = telemedicine / remote patient monitoring
M-health = mobile health applications
ICT = information / communication technology

Source: Weiner, 2012  http://www.ijhpr.org/content/1/1/33
HIT is the core of the Accountable Care Organization (ACO)

Source: Premier Healthcare Alliance
The shifting US "data economy" – the transition from admin/claims to EHR systems

Estimated % of health care contact information captured primarily by admin data vs. EHR systems, US 1980-2040

Source: Weiner and Salzberg JHU – Work in Progress
### The Changing Axiom of the US Health Care “Data Economy”

**Source:** Weiner and Salzberg JHU – Work in Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CLAIMS/ ADMIN DATA</th>
<th>EHR/HIT/E-HEALTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MOTIVATOR</strong></td>
<td>• REIMBURSEMENT</td>
<td>• CARING FOR ONE PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• MANAGEMENT</td>
<td>• CARE WORKFLOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• P4P/QI/REPORTING</td>
<td>• P4P/QI/REPORTING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADVANTAGES</strong></td>
<td>• UBIQUITOUS</td>
<td>• CLINICALLY RICH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• INTEROPERABLE</td>
<td>• SELF DOCUMENTING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ACCURATE IF RELATED TO $$</td>
<td>• CONSUMER INFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• STANDARDIZED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DISADVANTAGES</strong></td>
<td>• LIMITED CLINICALLY</td>
<td>• POOR INTEROPERABILITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• INACCURACY RELATED TO $</td>
<td>• ACCURACY INCENTIVES ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• DATA HOLES EXIST</td>
<td>• STANDARDS IN FLUX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• DATA UNSTRUCTURED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*The table above illustrates the motivations, advantages, and disadvantages associated with CLAIMS/ADMIN DATA and EHR/HIT/E-HEALTH within the context of the US health care system's data economy.*

---

**Note:** The text within the table highlights critical aspects for understanding the evolving dynamics in health care management, reimbursement strategies, and care workflow. The emphasis on interoperability, accuracy, and data standardization underscores the significance of these factors in the broader context of patient care and health system efficiency.
There will be profound opportunities to use HIT to develop population-based performance measures for:

- Quality improvement for **provider** organizations
  - Real time (safety / care management)
  - Retrospective evaluation / QI

- **Community** / regional health monitoring and improvement

- **Knowledge** creation to improve effectiveness / outcomes (the “learning” health system)

- Improving efficiency through **management / financing** initiatives (e.g., P4P targets)
## Review of data sources and types of quality / performance measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source:</th>
<th>Type of Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electronic / HIT</strong></td>
<td>Denominator  Process  Outcome  Pt-Cent.  Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH records / registry</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payer / provider HIS</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHR</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPOE (order entry)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHR /m-health /web-portal</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDS (clinical support)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-electronic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper medical record</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surveys (mail/phone)</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A typology for HIT based electronic quality measures ("e-QMs")

1) Translated: Traditional (e.g., paper record and claims) measures translated for use on HIT platforms. (Level-1)
2) HIT-facilitated: Measures that while not conceptually limited to HIT, would not otherwise be feasible. (Level-2)
3) HIT-enabled: Measures that generally would not be possible outside of EHR context. (Level -3)
4) HIT system management / CQI: Measures needed to implement, manage and evaluate HIT systems.
5) “e-iatrogenesis” / HIT safety: Measures of patient harm caused at least in part by sub-optimal application of HIT.

See: Weiner et al, April 2012 issue of International Journal for Quality in Health Care
http://intqhc.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2012/04/05/intqhc.mzs011.abstract
Examples of each type of e-QM

1) **Translated**: *(Level-1)*
   - EHR version of existing NCQA/HEDIS/JCAHO measures (such as % with tests ordered)

2) **HIT-facilitated**: *(Level -2)*
   - % of children > BMI of x receiving intervention
   - % of *entire population* achieving BP below certain threshold

3) **HIT- enabled**: *(Level -3)*
   - % of consumer generated web-based shared-care plans accessed by both generalist & specialists within 6 months
   - % of in scope care that is routed through CDSS supported workflow algorithm
   - % of PCPs who read key sections of specialists referral note
Examples of each type of e-QM - cont.

4) HIT system management:
   - Attainment of EHR interoperability targets
   - % of prescriptions via e-prescribing
   - % of CDS alerts ignored by clinicians

5) e-iatrogenesis / safety:
   - % of e-prescriptions that result in wrong drug
Applications of HIT for “population health decision support” within integrated delivery systems

- Risk identification / stratification for targeting priority populations/patients
- Provider focused process improvement focusing on patient “denominator”
- Patient / consumer targeted care management using “e-health” / “m-health” tools.
- High level monitoring of outcomes/value of the entire population
Innovative uses of widely used Johns Hopkins ACGs population case-mix measure among the 300+ organizations in 16 nations that apply them (www.acg.jhsph.edu)
Using Predictive Models to Identify Patients at Risk for Future Hospitalization:
Johns Hopkins ACG system

ACG Probability of Hospitalization Distribution

- .3 to <= 1.0: 1.6%
- .2 to < .3: 1.2%
- .1 to < .2: 3.0%
- .0 to < .1: 94%
- .9 to <= 1.0: 6%

Percent Hospitalized by ACG Probability of Hospitalization

- .0 to < .1: 2%
- .1 to < .2: 28%
- .2 to < .3: 47%
- .3 to < .4: 59%
- .4 to < .5: 78%
- .5 to < .6: 100%

Scores Based on ACG Version 9.0 Hospitalization Prediction Risk Model - This is for a Medicaid Cohort enrolled in private health plans. (See www.acg.jhsph.edu)

May 2010
NQF certified “Total Cost of Care” (TCOC) Index developed by Health Partner (MN) using Johns Hopkins ACG case mix measure.

TCOC Uptake Across the Country

Since the NQF endorsement in 2012,
- 90+ licensees in 29 states (blue colored states).
- Plus several national and regional organizations.

www.healthpartners.com/tcoc
© 2014 HealthPartners. Reprints allowed for non-commercial purposes only if this copyright notice is prominently included and HealthPartners is given clear attribution as the copyright owner.

Updated: 4/25/2014
**Use of “TCOC” on Performance Dashboard by Alliance of Community Health Plans (ACHP)**

**FIGURE 1: PRIORITY HEALTH TOTAL COST OF CARE DASHBOARD REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accountable Care Network</th>
<th>Risk Score</th>
<th>Total Cost of Care</th>
<th>Adm / 1000</th>
<th>Cost per Adm</th>
<th>Readm Rate</th>
<th>OP Fac Cost PMPM</th>
<th>OP Surg Cost PMPM</th>
<th>OP Lab Cost PMPM</th>
<th>ER Visit / 1000</th>
<th>Spec Cost PMPM</th>
<th>2011 Quality Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group J</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group T</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group G</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group H</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group N</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group S</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group O</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chart does not include full set of Priority Health network groups.

Scores that fall between measurements are shaded accordingly.
EHR and other HIT data offer new profound opportunities to measure risk beyond current claims based models ("e-ACGs").

**Clinical Domain**
- Symptoms/Physical Status
- Diagnostics
- Therapeutics
- Medical History
- Genomics

**Consumer Domain**
- Socio-economic
- Behavioral/Lifestyle
- Family Preferences
- Insurance Status
- Knowledge/Attitudes
- Community Norms
- Access to Care
- Race/ethnicity
MAXIMIZING HEALTH (AND VALUE) FOR POPULATIONS

HIT WILL MAKE IS FEASIBLE…and inevitable
Population Health Informatics: An Integration of Three Disciplines

Medical Care / Clinical Sciences

Health Informatics / Info Sciences

Population / Public Health Sciences
Working Definitions

Population Health
“Population health comprises organized activities for assessing and improving the health and well-being of a defined population.”

Population Health Informatics (PHIT):
“Population health informatics is the systematic application of information technologies and electronic information to the improvement of the health and well-being of a defined community or other target population.”
A controversy: “Public Health” vs. “Population Health”
HIT WILL ALLOW GREAT ADVANCES IN POPULATION HEALTH

• Ways to integrate disparate “numerators” & “denominators” to define true populations and communities.

• Models and tools to help medical care systems move towards “population value” perspectives.

• Advanced tools for extracting and analyzing unstructured data from many sources.

• Standards and frameworks for integrating across EHR / IT vendors to achieve true community standards.
Conceptual model for the “Maryland Population Health Information Network” (M-PHIN) in Support of the new “All Payer” Population-Based Global Budget Hospital Payment System

State-wide Population Health Data-warehouse

MD All-Payer Population Health Analytics Core

Patient Experience Metrics
Population Health Metrics
Healthcare Cost

New Data Sources?

Claims (HSCRC, CMS)
National Data (HCAHPS, CDC, QBR, PQI)
Local PH Metrics (Md SHIP)

EHRs

1...n

HIE (CRISP)

Informatics Unit at HSCRC/DHMH
A
B
C

DHMH to Provider
Pro. to DHMH
DHMH to Pro.
New Measures JHU Team Could Potentially Help to Develop, Pilot and Evaluate

- State-of-the-art population health metrics that tap into a broader range clinical, public health, consumer and human service digital sources.

- New quality measures representing broader perspectives: Patient reported outcomes (PROs) / consumer wellbeing; Palliative care measures; Overutilization (aka “choosing wisely”).

- Innovative ways to integrate existing quality measures (QMs), EMR meaningful use (MU) metrics into the population framework. (We would work with CMS Innovations center re ACO, MU, PQRS and new “MIPS” - SGR replacement.)

- Expanding EHR sources to create: more timely measures (daily, weekly or real-time), more localized measures (integrating GIS data), more integrated measures (across providers)

- New predictive models for quality (and potentially care management) E.g., forecasting readmission, community residing consumer at high-risk.

- 50% of MD Patients will be captured within the Epic EMR system. Epic has indicated their willingness to work with us on this initiative to support cross-provider linked pop health metrics and management.
Goals of John Hopkins “Overuse” Measure Project

• To identify a set of potentially overused medical procedures (indicator procedures) that can be characterized with administrative claims

• To aggregate these indicators into a single indicator of overuse

• To test whether the index is associated with higher costs and worse clinical outcomes.

Source: J. Segal et al. See White paper at:
### Example Potentially Overused Procedures
(From JHU Overuse Index)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Interquartile Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stress echocardiography in symptomatic or ischemic equivalent acute chest pain</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen CT, use of contrast material</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax CT, use of Contrast Material</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI Lumbar Spine for Low Back Pain</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus CT or antibiotics for uncomplicated acute rhinosinusitis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic tests, like immunoglobulin testing, in evaluation of allergy</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. 30-Day Mortality Rate after Hospitalization vs. Overuse Index

Legend: Overuse Index generated using Medicare Parts A and B, 2008 for each of 306 Health Referral Regions

(r=0.27, p=<0.0001)
Some Challenges and Opportunities in the Measurement / Data Infrastructure Domain Facing the Maryland “All Payer Waiver Community”

- **Challenges:**
  - There are many transformations that will be required to move from hospital/episode centric care to the population perspective.
  - Balancing CMS requirement of traditional hospital/claims centric “legacy” metrics with future oriented innovative metrics and tools.
  - Though most electronic data sources we propose to use are available, many technical and standardization challenges will be faced.

- **Opportunities**
  - The “Stars are in Alignment” for what we propose. The all-payer, PCMH, and data systems are unique here in Maryland.
  - Our new metrics can serve as a national (international?) model.
  - The population centric “M-PHIN” Health IT system we propose is inevitable in the future. Maryland can be the first to build it.
  - We have a unique set of partners at the table to really make this happen!
The new Johns Hopkins Center for Population Health IT (CPHIT) will be central to many of these advances.

The **mission** of CPHIT ("see-fit") is to improve the health and well-being of populations by advancing the state-of-the-art of Health Information Technology (HIT) and e-health tools used by private health care organizations and public health agencies.

CPHIT’s **focus** will be on the application of electronic health records (EHRs), e-health and other digitally-supported health improvement interventions targeted at communities, special need populations and groups of consumers cared for by integrated delivery systems (IDSs).

[www.jhsph.edu/cphit](http://www.jhsph.edu/cphit)
JHU - CPHIT Key R&D priorities

1. Health status and quality measures created from HIT systems.
2. Text mining (NLP) and pattern recognition tools.
3. Linking provider- and consumer-centric HIT systems.
4. e-Decision support to manage high risk populations.
5. Approaches for surmounting HIT interoperability.
6. Legal / ethical and policy frameworks for secondary use of HIT
7. EHR-based tools for IDS quality / safety improvement.
8. Integration of “community” data for pop-based interventions.
9. Standardized tools to support pop health IT/informatics R&D.
And while the “direction of travel” of key HIT trends is 100% clear, the journey may not be so simple
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