Market Share Payment Adjustments under Global Revenue Models

Introduction

Market Share Adjustments (MSAs) are part of a much broader set of tools that link global budgets to populations and patients under the State's new hospital payment model. MSAs can play a role in ensuring and improving customer service and high quality care by moving revenues when there is a shift of patient volumes between hospitals..

The purpose of MSAs is to provide a basis for increasing or decreasing the Approved Regulated Revenue (ARR) of Maryland hospitals operating under Global Budget rate arrangements to recognize the movement of patients. Ideally, MSAs would also encourage movement of services from low value to high value providers of a service. A Market Share Adjustment under a global budget revenue system is fundamentally different from a volume adjustment. Hospitals under a population-based payment system have a fixed budget for providing services to the population in their service area. By definition, a global budget is not fixed if it is subject to volume adjustments. Therefore it is imperative that market share calculations reflect shifts in market share independent of general volume increases in the market. Additionally, MSAs should not be so sensitive that they respond to random fluctuations in the volume of services at individual hospitals.

In order for an MSA to be consistent with a population-based approach, , it should have certain features such as the following:

- A specified population from which hospitals' market shares will be calculated;
- A defined set of covered services of the MSA; and
- To the maximum extent practicable each MSA should be at least budget neutral or result in demonstrably higher quality.

The MSA should not hinder global budget incentives to eliminate marginal services that do not add value or are unnecessary or to reduce utilization resulting from better care. Therefore, the State's MSA approach must focus on accounting for appropriate reductions in utilization without applying a MSA and at the same time adjusting Global budgets for shifts in volumes between hospitals under the MSA. The MSA is just one mechanism focused on utilization changes. The global budget agreements also contain provisions focused on assuring the provision of needed services.

The basis for distinguishing between desirable and undesirable utilization changes is the Triple Aim of the new system: to improve health care outcomes, enhance patient experiences, and control costs. The MSA, together with other global budget agreement provisions and HSCRC policies, will need to focus on MSA adjustments that support the Triple Aim. Examples of reductions in utilization that help achieve the Triple Aim are those that result from:

Draft- Market Share Payment Work Group Meeting 10.1.2014

- Fewer hospital-acquired conditions;
- Fewer rehospitalizations;
- Fewer initial hospitalizations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions;
- Fewer initial hospitalizations for conditions that can be treated equally effectively in other settings at lower cost; and
- Providing services in a lower cost hospital without compromising patient care.

Examples of reductions in utilization that undermine the achievement of the Triple Aim are those that result from:

- Marketing strategies, inducements in physician contracts, limiting availability of emergency room care, or other measures designed to prompt patients with unprofitable service needs to seek care elsewhere;
- Reducing volume or capacity to the point of creating long waiting lists or delays;
- Underinvesting in new technology or modes of care proven to be efficient ways of improving patient health, safety or quality;
- Structuring a hospital's overall service mix to reduce the volume of non-profitable services below the amount needed by patients within the hospital's service area;
- Reducing total level of a hospital's medical staff or the quality of affiliated providers to the point of compromising patient care; or
- Undermining patient care by providing care in settings outside the hospital when patients
 would be better served within the hospital; providing lower-cost services within the hospital
 when more costly services would better meet patient needs; or delaying the onset of
 hospitalization for particular patients in ways that place health at risk.

Similarly, the MSA together with other mechanisms and policies must distinguish between increases in utilization at any given hospital that should be recognized and rewarded and those that should not be recognized or rewarded. For example, a hospital should receive an increase in its Approved Regulated Revenue (ARR) is when organizations such as Health Maintenance Organizations, Medicaid Managed Care Organizations, Accountable Care Organizations, or Primary Care Medical Homes channels their members from low value to high value hospitals to improve efficiency, cost-effectiveness and quality. Hospitals also should receive an ARR increase in circumstances beyond their control that result in a shift of patient volumes, such as the closure of a service at a particular hospital and resulting relocation of patients receiving that service to another facility, or other discrete and readily identifiable events. On the other hand, increases in volume that are not related to achieving the Triple Aim, such as the result of hospitals pursuing a strategy of acquiring physician practices for increased referrals or a redirection of services to their facilities unrelated to improved value, should not be encouraged.

Guiding Principles

In developing its MSA approach, the HSCRC should follow certain guiding principles. These include:

1. Provide clear incentives

- **1.1.** Promote the three part aim
- 1.2. Emphasize value, recognizing that this concept will take some time to develop
- 1.3. Promote investments in care coordination
- 1.4. Encourage appropriate utilization and delivery of high quality care
- 1.5. Avoid paying twice for the same service

2. Reinforce the maintenance of services to the community.

- 2.1. Encourage competition to promote responsive provision of services
- 2.2. Competition should be based on value
- 2.3. Revenue should generally follow the patient
- 2.4. Support strategies pursued by entities such as ACOs, PCMH, MCOs seeking to direct patients to low cost, high quality settings.

3. Changes constituting market share shifts should be clearly defined.

- 3.1. Volume increase alone is not a market share change.
- 3.2. Market share shifts should be evaluated in combination with the overall volume trend to ensure that shift has occurred, rather than volume growth.
- 3.3. If one hospital has higher volume and other hospitals serving the same area do not have corresponding declines in volume, a market share shift should not be awarded.
- 3.4. Increases in the global budget of one hospital should be funded fully by the decrease in other hospitals' budgets.
- 3.5. Market share changes should reflect services provided by the hospital.
- 3.6. Substantial reductions at a facility may result in a global budget reduction even if not accompanied by shift to other facilities in service area. (Investigate shift to unregulated, limitations on types of procedures).
- 3.7. Closures of services or discrete readily identifiable events should result in a global budget adjustment and a market share adjustment as needed.
- 3.8. Market shifts in Potentially Avoidable Utilization (PAU) should be evaluated separately.¹

To be reviewed after methodology development for calculating shift

¹ There are limited circumstances where HSCRC might want to recognize a market shift in PAUs. For example, if an HMO moved all of its patients from one facility to another, there may be an appropriate shift in revenue for some level of PAU cases. Similarly, if a PCMH changed its hospital affiliation, there may be a shift in PAU volumes from one facility to another.

Draft- Market Share Payment Work Group Meeting 10.1.2014

- 1. Adjust budgets for substantial shift in market share.
- 2. Use corridors to avoid shifts for minor variations.
- 3. Adjust budgets gradually to reflect the fixed nature of capital and other costs
- 4. Timing of market share adjustments
- 5. Relative value of market shifts

Market Share Shift Calculation

Based on the principles listed above:

- <u>Both</u> volume and market share at a hospital must have increased to receive a positive market share adjustment.
- <u>Both</u> expected volume and market share at a hospital must have decreased to receive a negative market share adjustment.

The developed algorithms applied should compare changes in volume at Hospital ABC to net change in volume for the other hospitals serving the market.

Hospital ABC for Service Area	Aggregate of Other Hospitals for Service Area	Market Share Adj. for ABC
Volume Increase	Volume Increase	No
Volume Decrease	Volume Decrease	No
Volume Increase	Volume Decrease	Yes - Increase
		ABC Increase > Absolute Value of
		Decrease at Other Hospitals:
		Shift = Decrease at Other Hospitals
		ABC Increase < Absolute value of
		Decrease at Other Hospitals:
		Shift = ABC Increase
Volume Decrease	Volume Increase	Yes – Decrease
		Absolute Value of ABC Decrease > Increase at Other Hospitals: Shift = Increase at Other Hospitals
		Absolute Value of ABC Decrease < Absolute value of Increase at Other Hospitals: Shift = ABC Decrease